arXiv:1504.06549v1 [math.PR] 24 Apr 2015

A remark on monotonicity in Bernoulli bond Percolation

Bernardo N.B. de Lima[*], Aldo Procacci[*] and Rémy Sanchis[*]

April 27, 2015

Abstract

Consider an anisotropic independent bond percolation model on the d-dimensional hypercubic lattice, $d \geqslant 2$, with parameter p. We show that the two point connectivity function $P_p(\{(0,\dots,0) \leftrightarrow (n,0,\dots,0)\})$ is a monotone function in n when the parameter p is close enough to 0. Analogously, we show that truncated connectivity function $P_p(\{(0,\dots,0) \leftrightarrow (n,0,\dots,0), (0,\dots,0) \nleftrightarrow \infty\})$ is also a monotone function in n when p is close to 1.

Keywords: percolation; monotonicity of connectivity; Orstein-Zernike behavior.

1 Introduction and main result

Consider an ordinary Bernoulli bond percolation model, with parameter $p \in [0,1]$ on the graph $\mathbb{L}^d = (\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{E})$ for $d \geq 2$, with $\mathbb{E} = \{e = \langle x,y \rangle : x,y \in \mathbb{Z}^d,\ |x-y|_1 = 1\}$. That is each bond is *open* independently with probability p, otherwise it is *closed* with probability $1-p$. Thus, this model is described by the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P_p)$ where $\Omega = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{E}}$, $\mathcal{F}$ is the σ-algebra generated by the cylinder sets in Ω and $P_p = \prod_{e \in \mathbb{E}} \mu(e)$ is the product of Bernoulli measures with parameter p.

Given two vertices $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we use the standard notation $(x \leftrightarrow y)$ to denote the set of configurations $\omega \in \Omega$ such that x is connected to y by a path of open bonds. Given the parameter $p \in [0,1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the two-point connectivity function $\tau_p(n) =: P_p((0,\dots,0) \leftrightarrow (n,0,\dots,0))$. It is still an open question to prove if $\tau_p(n)$ is monotone in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for all values of p; this problem was told to one of us (B.N.B.L.) by J. van den Berg [4]. We also define the truncated two-point function $\tau_p^f(n) =: P_p((0,\dots,0) \leftrightarrow (n,0,\dots,0), (0,\dots,0) \nleftrightarrow \infty)$ as the probability of the set of configurations where the origin is connected by open paths to the vertex $(n,0,\dots,0)$ but the origin is to connected by open paths to at most finitely many vertices.

---

[*] Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos 6627 C.P. 702 CEP 30123-970 Belo Horizonte-MG, Brazil

From now on, as usual $p_c = p_c(d)$ is the percolation threshold for ordinary Bernoulli percolation on $\mathbb{L}^d$. We remind that $\tau_p^f(n)$ is the interesting quantity in the supercritical phase since $\tau_p(n)$ does not decay at all when $p > p_c$.

The main result of this note is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Consider the Bernoulli bond percolation on* $\mathbb{L}^d$ *with* $d \geq 2$*, then:*
i) There exists $p' > 0$ *(depending upon the dimension* d*), such that*

$$\tau_p(n) > \tau_p(n+1),\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall p < p'.$$

ii) There exists $p'' < 1$ *(depending upon the dimension* d*), such that*

$$\tau_p^f(n) > \tau_p^f(n+1),\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall p > p''.$$

An analogous question was conjectured by Hammersley and Welsh [12] for the monotonicity of expected passage times in the context of first-passage percolation, and this question is also still open. There are some partial results like [10], [13], [2] and [1]. One very interesting negative result is due van den Berg [5], he consider first-passage percolation on the graph $\mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}$ and proves that the expect passage time from the origin to $(2, 0)$ is less than the expect passage time from the origin to $(1, 0)$.

Another similar inequality in the context of oriented percolation was obtained by E. Andjel and M. Sued in [3].

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Essentially the proof combines well established estimates of the Ornstein-Zernike behavior for the correlation functions for large values of n and classical estimates via polymer expansion for small values of n. Here, as usual $\xi(p)$ and $\xi^f(p)$ are the correlation length and the truncated correlation length defined as (see equations (6.54) and (8.56) in [11]):

$$\xi(p) = \left[\lim_{n\to\infty} -\frac{\log \tau_p(n)}{n}\right]^{-1}$$

and

$$\xi^f(p) = \left[\lim_{n\to\infty} -\frac{\log \tau_p^f(n)}{n}\right]^{-1}.$$

2.1 Proof of i)

The proof is based on two results. The first one concerns the Ornstein-Zernike decay of the two-point connectivity function $\tau_p(n)$ in the whole subcritical phase and was originally proved by and Campanino, Chayes and Chayes [7]. The second result concerning upper and lower bounds for the two-point connectivity function $\tau_p(n)$ in the highly subcritical phase, was obtained in [14] via polymer expansion. Hereafter all constants depend on d.

Lemma 1. *[Theorem 6.2 (II) of [7]] Consider independent bond percolation on $\mathbb{L}^d$, $d \geqslant 2$. For all $p < p_c$ there exists $\alpha(p) > 0$, $K_2(p) > 0$ such that*

$$\tau_p(n) = \frac{K_2(p)}{(\alpha(p)\pi n)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{\xi(p)}\right\} \left[1 + O(n^{-1})\right], \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma 2. *[Eq. (6.3) of [14]] Consider independent bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geqslant 2$. There exist $p_0 > 0$ close enough to zero and constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$, such that $\forall p < p_0$, it holds:*

$$p^n(1-p)^{C_1 n} \leqslant \tau_p(n) \leqslant p^n(1 + C_2 p)^{n/2}, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 1, it holds that

$$\frac{\tau_p(n)}{\tau_p(n+1)} = (1 + 1/n)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{\xi(p)}} \left(\frac{1 + O(n^{-1})}{1 + O((n+1)^{-1})}\right), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe that $-\frac{C(p)}{n} \leqslant [O(n^{-1})] \leqslant \frac{C(p)}{n}$, where $C(p)$ is a bounded function of p, at least in some interval $[0, p_1]$, for p_1 small. Therefore,

$$\frac{1 + O(n^{-1})}{1 + O((n+1)^{-1})} \geqslant \frac{1 - C/n}{1 + C/(n+1)} \geqslant 1 - 2C/n,$$

where $C = \sup\{C(p); 0 \leqslant p \leqslant p_1\}$.
Hence, there exists $n_0 = n_0(p_1)$ such that, for $n \geqslant n_0$, we have

$$(1 + 1/n)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}(1 - 2C/n) > e^{-\frac{1}{\xi(p)}}, \ \forall p \in [0, p_1].$$

Therefore the monotonicity of $\tau_p(n)$ is proved for all $n \geqslant n_0$ and all $p \in [0, p_1]$. Now we prove the monotonicity of $\tau_p(n)$ for all $n \leqslant n_0$. By Lemma 2, for all $n \leqslant n_0$ and $p \in [0, p_0]$, it holds that

$$\frac{\tau_p(n)}{\tau_p(n+1)} \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\frac{(1-p)^{C_1 n}}{(1 + C_2 p)^{(n+1)/2}} \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{(1-p)^{C_1}}{(1 + C_2 p)^{1/2}}\right)^{n+1} \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{(1-p)^{C_1}}{(1 + C_2 p)^{1/2}}\right)^{n_0+1},$$

where n_0 is the positive integer defined above.
Thus, there exists $p_2 \in [0, p_0]$, small enough, such that for all $p < p_2$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{(1-p)^{C_1}}{(1 + C_2 p)^{1/2}}\right)^{n_0+1} > 1.$$

We have thus proved the monotonicity of $\tau_p(n)$ for all $n \leqslant n_0$ in the interval $[0, p_2]$. Then, part *i)* of Theorem 1 is proved taking $p' = \min\{p_1, p_2\}$.

2.2 Proof of ii)

The proof for $d \geqslant 3$ is based on analogous results for the highly supercritical phase. The Ornstein-Zernike decay of the truncated two-point function for the Bernoulli bond percolation in the highly supercritical phase (Lemma 3 below) was originally obtained in [6], while the upper and lower bounds for the truncated two-point function $\tau_p(n)$ in the highly supercritical phase (Lemma 4 below) was once again given in [14].

The proof of ii) $d \geqslant 3$ then follows from the two lemmas below performing the same steps of part *i)* with minor modifications. From now on, let $\lambda = \lambda(p) = \frac{1-p}{p}$.

Lemma 3. *[Theorem 1.1 of [6]] For $d \geqslant 3$, there exists $p_3 < 1$, close enough to 1, such that for all $p \in [p_3, 1)$, it holds that*

$$\tau_p^f(n) = \frac{(1-p)^{4d-d^2-1}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}(1+g(p))\frac{e^{-\frac{n}{\xi^f(p)}}}{n^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}(1+O(n^{-1}))$$

where $g(p)$ is an analitic function for $p \in [p_3, 1]$.

Lemma 4. *[Theorem 5.1 of [14]] For $d \geqslant 3$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and $p_4 < 1$, close enough to 1, such that, for all $p \in [p_4, 1)$, it holds that*

$$\left(\frac{\lambda}{(1+\lambda)^2}\right)^{2(d-1)(n+1)+2} \leqslant t_p^f(n) \leqslant 2(\lambda\sqrt{1+C\lambda})^{2(d-1)(n+1)+2}.$$

Finally, for the case $d = 2$, we use two analogous results recently obtained. The first one, about Ornstein-Zernike behaviour, was given in [8] and it is stated below as Lemma 5. The second one, on bounds upper and lower bonds for truncated two-point function was obtained in [9], is stated below as Lemma 6.

Using these two lemmas here below, the proof of ii) for $d = 2$ follows the same lines as in the subcritical case.

Lemma 5. *[Theorem 1.1 of [8]] For $d = 2$, there are $\psi(p) > 0$ and $p_5 < 1$, close to 1, such that*

$$\tau_p^f(n) = \psi(p)\frac{e^{-\frac{n}{\xi^f(p)}}}{n^2}(1+f(p,n))$$

where $-c(p)f(n) \leqslant f(p,n) \leqslant c(p)f(n)$, with $f(n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $c(p)$ is bounded in $p \in [p_5, 1]$.

Lemma 6. *[Proposition 2 of [9]] For $\mathbb{Z}^2$, there exists $p_6 < 1$, close enough to 1. such that, for all $p \in [p_6, 1)$, it holds that*

$$\lambda^{2n+2}p^{2n} \leqslant \tau_p^f(n) \leqslant \lambda^{2n+2}\left[\frac{(4^3\lambda)^{n/2+1}}{1-4^3\lambda} + (1+12\lambda)^n\right].$$

Acknowledgments

B.N.B.L. and A.P. have been partially supported by the Brazilian agencies Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG - Programa de Pesquisador Mineiro). R.S. has been partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

References

[1] D. Ahlberg, Asymptotics of first-passage percolation on 1-dimensional graph, *Advances in Applied Probability* **47**, (2015).

[2] S.E. Alm and J.C. Wierman, Inequalities for means of restrict first-passage times in percolation theory, *Combin. Probab. Comput.,* **8**(4), 307-315, (1999).

[3] E.D. Andjel and M. Sued, An Inequality for Oriented $2D$ Percolation, *In and out of equilibrium 2,* Progress in Probability **60**, 21-30, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2008).

[4] J. van den Berg, Private Communication

[5] J. van den Berg, A counterexemple to a conjecture of J. M. Hammersley and D. J. Welsh concerning first-passage percolation, *Advances in Applied Probability,* **15**(2), 465-467, (1983).

[6] G. Braga, A. Procacci, R. Sanchis, Ornstein-Zernike behavior for the Bernoulli bond percolation on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ in the supercritical regime. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **3**(4), 581-606,(2004).

[7] M. Campanino, J.T. Chayes and L. Chayes, Gaussian fluctuations of connectivities in the subcritical regime of percolation, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields,* **88**, 269-341, (1991).

[8] M. Campanino, D. Ioffe, O. Louidor, Finite connections for supercritical Bernoulli bond percolation in $2D$, *Markov Process and Related Fields*, **16**(2), 225-266, (2010).

[9] R.G. Couto, B.N.B. de Lima, R. Sanchis, Truncated connectivities in a highly supercritical anisotropic percolation model, *J. Stat. Phys.* **153**(5), 739-750, (2013).

[10] J. B. Gouéré, Monotonicity in first-passage percolation, *ALEA,* **11**(2), 565-569, (2014).

[11] G. Grimmett, *Percolation*, second edition, Springer Verlag, New York (1999).

[12] J. M. Hammersley and D. J. A. Welsh, First-passage percolation, subaditive processes, stochastic networks and generalized renewal theory, In *Proc. Internat. Res. Semin., Statist. Lab., Univ. California, Berkeley*, 61-110, Springer-Verlag, New York (1965).

[13] C. D. Howard, Differentiability and monotonicity of expected passage time in Euclidean first-passage percolation, *Journal of Applied Probability.,* **38**(4), 815-827, (2001).

[14] A. Procacci, B. Scoppola, G. Braga, R. Sanchis, Percolation connectivity in the highly supercritical regime, *Markov Process and Related Fields* **10**(4), 607-628, (2004).